

Discipline Case Digest

File 17-06

Subject Members: Registered Professional Biologist

Complaint Submitted: June 13, 2017

Date of Decision: June 29, 2017

Complaint Summary:

A complaint was submitted against a member of the College alleging professional misconduct in their role as a decision-maker. The subject member was tasked with making a decision for a permit for use of public land. The complainant originally submitted this complaint in 2016 and the complaint was dismissed (File 16-03). The complainant stated that they had provided additional information not submitted in the original complaint.

Decision:

The Discipline Committee conducted a full and careful review of the material contained in the new complaint along with the information contained in the previous complaint. The Committee determined that the documents provided in the current complaint made it clear that there was no violation of government directives and had in fact “summarily dismissed” the challenges to the actions of the decision maker (the College member). The Discipline Committee determined that the complaint does not provide any new evidence with respect to a matter falling within the jurisdiction of the College and that the complaint was dismissed.