



File C24-06B

Respondent: Registered Professional Biologist

Complaint Submitted: April 30, 2024

Date of Decision: August 26, 2024

Complaint Summary:

The College received a complaint about the respondent's assessment and other supporting documents for a proposed development.

The complainant alleged that the respondent breached the Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct, specifically:

- Principle 1: Provide objective, science-based, unfettered, forthright and intellectually-honest opinion, advice and reports in applied biology.
- Principle 2: Undertake assignments and offer opinions only in areas where training and ability make the registrant professionally competent.
- Principle 3: Ensure they meet a professional standard of care by practicing applied biology with attention, caution, prudence, and due diligence.
- Principle 5: Hold paramount the safety, health and welfare of the public, including the protection of the environment and the promotion of health and safety in the workplace.
- Principle 6: Uphold professional obligations to the College while in the workplace.
- Principle 7: Maintain a standard of personal and professional conduct that does not reflect adversely on the College or its registrants.
- Principle 9: Recognize the duty to address poor conduct or practice of another professional under the Act in order to protect the public interest, the profession, and the reputation of the College as a regulator.

Decision:

The registrar reviewed the submissions from the complainant and the respondent. The respondent was not listed as an author or reviewer on the report. The reviewer of the report confirmed that the respondent did not work on the report.

Accordingly, the registrar dismissed the complaint about this respondent as unfounded, since the respondent did not work on the project in question.

This file is now closed.